

July 20, 2016

The Honorable Mary Jo White Chair Securities and Exchange Commission 100 F Street, NE Washington, DC 20549

Re: File Number S7-06-16: Business and Financial Disclosure Required by Regulation S-K

Dear Chair White:

We are a group of asset owners and asset managers, representing \$1.15 trillion in assets under management. We use a variety of strategies to reduce sustainability risks in our portfolios, such as reviewing companies' SEC filings, advocating for improved sustainability disclosure, engaging with companies on their sustainability practices, asking companies to provide voluntary reporting on environmental, social and governance (ESG) issues affecting their businesses, filing shareholder proposals, proxy voting, and assessing and managing such risks in our portfolios.

Based on our experience with these issues, we believe it is critical for the SEC to improve reporting of material sustainability risks in issuers' SEC filings, both because such disclosure is mandated by current law and because we need it to make informed investment and proxy voting decisions. We urge that the Commission not wait for the ultimate outcomes of current sustainability reporting initiatives, but instead immediately step up efforts to improve disclosure of material sustainability risks by US listed companies in their mandatory securities filings.

We recommend that the SEC approach sustainability disclosure as it would any other disclosure issue. Several elements need to be in place to ensure robust reporting that at the same time remains flexible as risks evolve due to regulatory changes, scientific findings, technology advancements, changing weather patterns and other developments. Meaningful disclosure can be elicited with appropriate disclosure rules or guidance in place, staff who are trained to understand sustainability risks and directed to focus on them, comment letters to issuers with deficient or questionable disclosures, other enforcement mechanisms, and regular dialogues with investors and issuers about their relevant needs and concerns.

Each of the leading voluntary sustainability disclosure frameworks includes useful elements that SEC staff should consider when enforcing existing rules and guidance, issuing interpretive guidance or proposing new line-item disclosure requirements. We recommend that SEC staff review the sustainability and climate-related reporting frameworks developed by the Global Reporting Initiative and CDP, and the sector-specific climate risk management and disclosure guides developed by members of the Global Investor Coalition on Climate Change (Ceres/INCR, IIGCC and IGCC). We also recommend you review the frameworks focused on financial reporting developed by the Climate Disclosure Standards Board, the Sustainability Accounting Standards Board, and the International Integrated Reporting Coalition.

Regarding climate change, we believe that existing SEC rules have not, as applied by the Commission to date, produced sufficient information for investors to evaluate material risks, which we believe are becoming increasingly significant to companies in multiple sectors. While we appreciate the Commission's 2010 interpretive guidance on climate change-related disclosure, its potential has been left largely untapped. Staff has issued very few comment letters regarding the inadequacy of current disclosures and has not pursued enforcement actions for failure to meet disclosure requirements, despite a very active financial risk and disclosure enforcement agenda in other areas. Such actions would go a long way towards ensuring that companies were updating their disclosures to reflect the evolving material risks associated with climate change.

In the last six years, although voluntary sustainability disclosure frameworks have become increasingly sophisticated, the disclosures provided by companies in SEC filings regarding material effects that sustainability issues may have "upon the capital expenditures, earnings and competitive positions" of registrants are still confined largely to large cap companies—and not even all of those—and many of the disclosures that are there have remained vague. In particular, it appears that companies have not provided MD&A disclosures that provide investors with sufficient detail regarding companies' internal analyses of the financial risks associated with "known trends, demands, commitments, events, and uncertainties that are reasonably likely to have a material effect on financial condition or operating performance." Climate change and governmental responses thereto, changing weather patterns, water scarcity and human rights issues in corporate supply chains all fall into these categories and need better company-specific disclosure to meet investors' needs.

In a number of cases, additional guidance or line-item disclosure requirements are needed to elicit consistent, comparable, decision-useful narrative and metrics-based sustainability information that is useful to investors. For example, the business plans of many oil and gas, electric power, and coal companies appear to pose material financial risks to investors, because they are based on forecasts for increasing demand that fail to take into account the accelerating transition to a low carbon global economy. Even as enforcement actions may be warranted to address materially inadequate disclosures by these companies under the existing rules, new disclosure rules regarding the alignment of business plans with the greenhouse gas reduction targets of the Paris agreement may be necessary. Similarly, water risks are one of the most significant and immediately felt physical and financial impacts of climate change on many companies in water-dependent sectors, and rules may be needed for investors to obtain decision-useful water risk and mitigation management information.

While many investors have engaged with or urged companies to provide better ESG disclosure and risk management, as long as this disclosure is perceived as voluntary, there will be many companies—including the majority of small and mid-cap companies—who continue to see it as immaterial. Moreover, this imposes a significant burden on investors who conduct engagements to persuade companies to disclose material ESG issues. With the increasing pressure to control fees and expenses, corporate ESG engagement is growing more expensive and difficult for some individual investors. SEC action is needed to provide a level playing field and give all investors comparable and useful material ESG information.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. As the Commission works to improve the disclosure of material sustainability risks, we would welcome the opportunity to provide further input about the type of reporting we require. We would be pleased to meet with the Commission and its

staff to discuss these issues further.

Sincerely,

Kevin C. Weinman

Chief Financial and Administrative

Officer

Amherst College

Natasha Lamb Managing Partner Arjuna Capital

Dylan Sage

Executive Vice President Baldwin Brothers Inc.

Anne Simpson

Investment Director, Global Governance

California Public Employees'

Retirement System

Betty T. Yee

California State Controller

Stu Dalheim

Vice President, Governance and

Advocacy

Calvert Investments

Ion Yadigaroglu Managing Principal

Capricorn Investment Group

Shelley Alpern

Director of Social Research &

Shareholder Advocacy

Clean Yield Asset Management

Denise L. Nappier

State Treasurer

Connecticut Retirement Plans and Trust

Funds

Adam Kanzer Managing Director

Domini Social Investments LLC

Vincent Kaufmann

CEO

Ethos Foundation, Switzerland

Clara Miller President

F.B. Heron Foundation

Steven J. Schueth

President

First Affirmative Financial Network

Jeffery W. Perkins

Executive Director

Friends Fiduciary Corporation

Leslie Samuelrich

President

Green Century Capital Management

Ian Simm

Chief Executive

Impax Asset Management

Dr. Matthew Kiernan

Chief Executive

Inflection Point Capital Management

Cllr Kieran Quinn

Chair

Local Authority Pension Fund Forum

Katie Briggs

Managing Director

Laird Norton Family Foundation

Mark Kriss

Managing Partner Macroclimate

Jenny Russell **Executive Director** Merck Family Fund

Luan Jenifer

Executive Vice President

Miller/Howard Investments, Inc.

Hervé Guez

Director, Responsible Investment

Research Mirova

Scott M. Stringer

New York City Comptroller

Thomas P. DiNapoli

New York State Comptroller

New York State Common Retirement

Fund

Julie Fox Gorte, Ph.D.

Senior Vice President for Sustainable

Investing

Pax World Funds

Carrie A. Endries. Ph.D.

Director of Impact Investments

Reynders, McVeigh Capital

Management

Jay Huish

Executive Director

San Francisco Employees' Retirement

System

Kenneth J. Nakatsu

Executive Director

Seattle City Employees' Retirement

System

Rev. Michael H. Crosby

Executive Director

Seventh Generation Interfaith Coalition

for Responsible Investment

Sister Patricia Daly, OP

Corporate Responsibility Representative

Sisters of St. Dominic of Caldwell, NJ

Sally Osberg

President and CEO

Skoll Foundation

Danielle Ginach

Associate Director, Impact Manager

Sonen Capital

Nancy K. Kopp

Treasurer

State of Maryland

Melissa Beck

Executive Director

The Educational Foundation of America

David T. Abbott

Executive Director

The George Gund Foundation

Elizabeth McGeveran

Impact Investing Program Director

The McKnight Foundation

Laura Campos

Director of Shareholder Activities

The Nathan Cummings Foundation

Stephen B. Heintz

President

The Rockefeller Brothers Fund

Andy Mims

Partner and Trustee

The Sustainability Group of Loring,

Wolcott & Coolidge

Brianna Murphy Vice President, Shareholder Advocacy Trillium Asset Management

Timothy Brennan Treasurer & CFO Unitarian Universalist Association

Patricia Farrar-Rivas Partner and CEO Veris Wealth Partners

Aaron Ziulkowski Senior ESG Analyst Walden Asset Management

Theresa Whitmarsh Executive Director Washington State Investment Board